EXHIBIT 3

```
Case 1:21-cv-11181-PBS Document 120-3 Filed 05/26/22 Page 2 of 38
                                                                        1
 1
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                          DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
 2
 3
 4
      United States of America,
                     Plaintiff,
 5
                                         Case No. 20-cr-10263-PBS
 6
      VS.
 7
      Jim Baugh, also known as
      James Baugh,
 8
                     Defendant.
                                     )
 9
10
      BEFORE: The Honorable Patti B. Saris
11
12
13
14
15
16
                                Remote Rule 11
                                April 25, 2022
17
18
19
20
21
22
                        Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR
23
                           Official Court Reporter
                         United States District Court
24
                          595 Main Street, Room 514A
                     Worcester, Massachusetts 01608-2093
                       508-929-3399 justicehill@aol.com
25
                  Mechanical Steno - Transcript by Computer
```

1 PROCEEDINGS (The following proceedings were held remotely before 2 the Honorable Patti B. Saris, United States District Judge, 3 4 United States District Court, District of Massachusetts, on 5 April 25, 2022.) THE CLERK: The Court calls Criminal Action 20-10263, 6 United States versus Jim Baugh. 7 Would counsel please identify themselves. 8 MR. KOSTO: Good morning, your Honor. Seth Kosto for 9 the United States. 10 11 MR. FICK: Good morning, your Honor. William Fick for 12 Mr. Baugh. He is here as well via video at our request. He is 13 waiving his presence in the courtroom for this change of plea 14 hearing. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. 16 May I ask, Miss Alice, who are you? 17 THE CLERK: She's supposed to shut off -- Clary, can 18 you shut off her video, please. 19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. All right. So why are 20 we hear today, Mr. Fick? 21 MR. FICK: We're here for a Rule 11 hearing for 22 Mr. Baugh to change his plea to the counts of the indictment 23 charging him. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Baugh, can you -- it's Baugh or Baugh? 25 THE DEFENDANT: It's Baugh.

```
1
               THE COURT: Baugh. Mr. Baugh, can you hear me and see
 2
      me?
               THE DEFENDANT: I can.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 4
 5
               Do you waive your right to be here in Massachusetts
 6
      in person in the courtroom?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: I do.
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. So do you want to put
 8
 9
      him under oath and take the plea, Maryellen.
10
               THE CLERK: Yes, I will.
11
               THE COURT: Thank you.
12
               THE CLERK: Sir, can you raise your right hand.
13
               Do you solemnly swear the answers you shall give to
14
      this Court will be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
15
      the truth, so help you God?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: I do.
17
               THE CLERK: Okay. Thank you.
18
               Count One: Conspiracy to commit stalking through
19
      interstate travel and through facilities of interstate commerce
20
      all in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 371.
21
               How do you plead to Count One, guilty or not guilty?
22
               THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
23
               THE CLERK: Okay. Counts Two and Three: Stalking
24
      through interstate travel; aiding and abetting, all in
      violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 2261A(1) and 2.
25
```

```
1
               How to you plead to Counts Two and Three, guilty or
      not quilty?
 2
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
 4
               THE CLERK: Thank you.
 5
               As to Counts Six and Seven: Stalking through
 6
      facilities of interstate commerce and aiding and abetting, all
      in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 2261A(2) and 2.
 7
 8
               How to you plead to Counts Six and Seven, guilty or
 9
      not quilty?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
11
               THE CLERK: Thank you.
12
               As to Counts Ten and Eleven: Witness tampering and
13
      aiding and abetting, all in violation of Title 18 U.S.C.
14
      Section 1512(b)(3) and 2.
               How do you plead to Counts Ten and Eleven, quilty or
15
16
      not guilty?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
18
               THE CLERK: Okay. And as to Counts Thirteen and
19
      Fourteen: Destruction, altercation, and falsification [sic] of
20
      records in a federal investigation; and aiding and abetting,
      all in violation of Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1519 and 2.
21
22
               How do you plead to Counts Thirteen and Fourteen,
23
      guilty or not guilty?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
25
               THE CLERK: Thank you.
```

```
1
               That's it, Judge.
 2
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 3
               Sir, do you understand that you are now under oath and
      if you answer any of my questions falsely, your answers can
 4
 5
      later be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or
 6
      making a false statement?
               THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
 7
               THE COURT: What is your full name?
 8
 9
               THE DEFENDANT: Jim Lance Baugh.
10
               THE COURT: Do you go by any other names?
11
               THE DEFENDANT: I go by Jim.
12
               THE COURT: Jim?
1.3
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yeah.
14
               THE COURT: No -- no false names or aliases?
15
               THE DEFENDANT: No. No.
16
               THE COURT: All right. Where were you born?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: I was born in Pine Bluff, Arkansas.
18
               THE COURT: And how far did you go in school?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: I completed graduate school.
20
               THE COURT: Where?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: University of Oklahoma.
22
               THE COURT: All right. And what was the specialty?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: I have a master's of public
      administration.
24
25
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
```

```
1
               Did you -- how old are you?
               THE DEFENDANT: I'm 47.
 2
               THE COURT: All right. And have you ever been treated
 3
      for any mental health issues?
 4
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: I have not.
 6
               THE COURT: Have you taken any unlawful drugs in the
      last 48 hours?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: I have not.
 8
               THE COURT: Have you had anything to drink in the last
 9
      48 hours?
10
11
               THE DEFENDANT: I have not.
12
               THE COURT: Yeah -- alcohol -- excuse me.
13
               THE DEFENDANT: No, no alcohol.
14
               THE COURT: All right. And have you had enough -- I
15
      know you worked a lot with Mr. Fick, and I'm sure people in his
16
      office.
17
               Do you feel as if you've had enough time to discuss
      this plea with him?
18
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: Do you understand that you're not -- that
21
      you are pleading guilty, as I understand it, without a plea
22
      agreement?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: I do.
24
               THE COURT: And do you feel in any way as if your
      attorney has pressured you into pleading guilty?
25
```

```
1
               THE DEFENDANT: I do not.
               THE COURT: Has anyone pressured you into pleading
 2
 3
      guilty?
               THE DEFENDANT:
 4
                               No.
 5
               THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you in any way?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
               THE COURT: Have there been any promises made to
 7
      you --
 8
 9
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              No.
10
               THE COURT: -- to get you to induce this plea?
11
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
               THE COURT: Let me ask counsel, because sometimes
12
13
      defendants aren't as aware.
14
               Are there any representations or promises with respect
15
      to sentencing or any other issue regarding this case?
16
               MR. KOSTO: The government has made none, your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Excuse me.
18
               MR. FICK: No, your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Okay.
20
               MR. KOSTO: The government has made no promises or
21
      representations regarding sentencing.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. Now, to the government at this
23
      point, please state the penalties that could be imposed.
24
               MR. KOSTO: Yes, your Honor, on Count One, which is
25
      the conspiracy count under 18 U.S.C. Section 371, that's a
```

Honor.

maximum term of imprisonment of five years; a \$250,000 fine; a maximum of three years supervised release; and as to each of these counts, your Honor, restitution and forfeiture are applicable.

Counts Two and Three, which charge stalking through interstate travel also carries a maximum term of five years per count; a \$250,000 fine; and three years of supervised release maximum.

Counts Six and Seven, which are the stalking through facilities of interstate commerce also for each count carries a maximum term of five years imprisonment; a \$250,000 fine; and three years of supervised release per count, although the supervised release years generally run concurrently.

Counts Ten and Eleven, which charge witness tampering in violation of 18 U.S.C., Section 1512(b)(3), each carry a potential maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years in prison; a \$250,000 fine; again three years maximum supervised release.

And Counts Thirteen and Fourteen, each of which charges falsification of documents in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1519 also carries a maximum term of 20 years imprisonment per count; a \$250,000 fine; and a maximum of three years supervised release.

THE COURT: So there are no mandatory minimums, right?

MR. KOSTO: There are no mandatory minimums, your

THE COURT: I know this is preliminary, and we'll have to wait for the probation department, but do you have a preliminary calculation of the United States Sentencing Commission guideline ranges?

MR. KOSTO: Your Honor, we estimate the -- the low end of the advisory guidelines range to be 57, and the high end to be 71 months with a Criminal History Category of I, although we have yet to go through the PSR process.

THE COURT: Is that including acceptance of responsibility?

MR. KOSTO: That does include a -- that does include three points for acceptance, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Fick, do you have a similar guideline range calculation, although I'm sure you will be seeking, you know, departures and variances.

MR. FICK: Sure. There's one sort of disputed enhancement. You know, there's several cases that have already been -- several cases with a similar guideline basis have been brought in this case, and the -- there is one in particular enhancement application that has a two-point swing that is disputed, and so we would -- I think are likely to contend the range is 46 to 57, not 57 to 71.

I think -- I believe Judge Burroughs is the only person who has addressed the issue, and I think she kind of

punted on it, because the sentence she gave was below the range anyway. Mr. Kosto can correct me if that's incorrect. But in any event that will be laid out in the PSR in the objections and sentencing memos.

THE COURT: All right. So there is a bit of a dispute of the appropriate guideline range, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And -- and do you understand though that what will happen is I will send this to the probation department, which will calculate a sentencing guideline range, look at the offense conduct as well as information about you as a human being and your personal history, and they'll calculate a guideline range.

In a sentencing hearing, you, your lawyer, very knowledgeable in these things, can object if he thinks the guideline range is wrong. The government's also quite knowledgeable. They can object if the government doesn't believe in the guideline range, and at that point I will rule on the objections.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: And then at that point, both sides could ask for a variance or a departure because you're pleading without a plea agreement; do you understand that?

Both sides are free to ask for departures or variances

1 under the guidelines; do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: 2 I do. THE COURT: And at that point what I will do is I will 3 impose a sentence. If you disagree with the sentence, you can 4 5 appeal the sentence, but you can't at that point withdraw your 6 quilty plea. 7 Do you understand that? 8 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And do you understand that by 10 pleading quilty you're essentially -- your counsel, as well as 11 I guess together with the codefendant filed many, many motions, 12 which I've ruled on I think most of them; and at this point, 13 that means you're basically giving up your claims with respect 14 to those motions. 15 Do you understand that? 16 THE DEFENDANT: T do. 17 MR. FICK: Your Honor, if I could just interject. 18 one thing that I think we would argue is not moot is the 19 lingering issues under the subpoena motion to eBay, because 20 some of that information could be pertinent to sentencing. 21 THE COURT: You know, I was going to ask you about 22 that. 23 MR. FICK: So we're not --24 THE COURT: So I haven't yet --25 MR. FICK: Right.

1 THE COURT: -- ruled on that, I believe, and I was going to ask you precisely that question. 2 So let me just at least at this point say I've ruled 3 on the motion to dismiss for multiplicity, for failure to state 4 5 a claim; I've ruled on the motion to compel and the motion 6 involving venue. The one outstanding thing is that motion to quash. 7 So I'll -- can I get to that later? I don't think that's critical 8 9 right now. 10 MR. FICK: Of course, of course, we have plenty of 11 time until sentencing, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. 13 MR. FICK: I just want to be clear we're not waiving 14 that today. 15 THE COURT: I get that. Thank you. 16 MR. FICK: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Other than that one issue Mr. Fick just raised do you understand that you're waiving all those issues 18 19 that were presented to me? 20 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, yes. 21 THE COURT: All right. And I want to go through the 22 very important rights that you're giving up by pleading guilty, 23 and let me start since your Criminal History Category I, which

means you haven't got any -- probably don't have any felony

convictions on your record.

24

25

Do you understand you're giving up the right to a jury trial -- excuse me -- that -- that a felony conviction will deprive you of certain important rights, such as the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on juries, and the right to possess a firearm and -- so many collateral consequences, I couldn't outline them here now having to do with licensing and that sort of thing.

Do you understand it will have that collateral consequence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And similarly, do you understand that by pleading guilty you're giving up some very important constitutional rights; for example, you have a right to representation by counsel at each and every stage of the proceeding; do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you could not afford Mr. Fick or somebody else, I could appoint counsel for you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you would be entitled to a jury trial, which means 12 people chosen at random from the community, 12 citizens would have to decide you're guilty beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be convicted?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Do you understand that proof beyond a 2 reasonable doubt's a very high standard and the government 3 always bears it; do you understand that? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: I do. THE COURT: The one -- the one area that is a little 6 bit of a lower standard is venue, and that would be by a 7 preponderance, and you're giving up the right to force the 8 9 government to prove venue. 10 Do you understand that? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that the jury verdict 13 has to be unanimous? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Do you understand that you would have the 16 right to cross-examine the government's witnesses; and as you 17 just heard, your attorney's subpoenaed some people and has a 18 right to subpoena people; do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: And you're giving up the right not only to 21 cross-examine the government's witnesses, but to present your 22 own; do you understand that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And do you understand you have the privilege against self-incrimination, which means you can't be 25

```
forced to testify against yourself?
 1
               THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
 2
               THE COURT: And -- but if you wanted to, you could
 3
      testify on your own behalf; do you understand that?
 4
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: I do.
               THE COURT: And present evidence which you have no
 6
      requirement to do so; do you understand that?
 7
 8
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 9
               THE COURT: All right. And understanding all these
      very important rights do you still want to plead guilty?
10
11
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              I do.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. Now, I'm going to ask the
13
      government to state the evidence that you would introduce at
14
      trial.
15
               Now, there's -- there's still a codefendant,
16
      Mr. Harville, so to some extent some of these counts overlap
17
      with his, but some don't.
18
               So should we go count by count, and I should take the
19
      plea, or are you going to do it all at once, Mr. Kosto?
20
               What makes the most sense because it's a very long
      indictment?
21
22
               MR. KOSTO: Your Honor, I'd propose to describe
23
      the -- the facts that apply most generally to the conspiracy
24
      Count One, and then pick up any remaining facts as to the
25
      substantive counts in that single description.
```

```
THE COURT: Thank you.
 1
               MR. KOSTO: May I proceed?
 2
               THE COURT: Yes.
 3
               MR. KOSTO: And I apologize, your Honor, I forgot to
 4
 5
      mention with respect to the statutory -- statutory maximums.
 6
      There would be a $900 special assessment that the Court is
      inquire -- required to impose. I apologize for leaving that
 7
 8
      out.
 9
               THE COURT: Thank you.
10
               And while you're on it, that's a good comeback.
11
      there been any discussion of the amount of restitution to this?
12
               MR. KOSTO: We should have more to say about that at
13
      sentencing, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: So there has been no agreement -- that
      might be -- in other words, that might take a longer time?
15
16
               MR. KOSTO: I don't believe it will -- it should
17
      require any additional time.
18
               THE COURT: All right. Well, it's not essential right
19
      now, but right now we don't know what that number would look
20
      like?
21
               MR. KOSTO: I think that's correct, your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: Have you talked that over, sir, Mr. Fick,
23
      with Mr. Baugh?
24
               MR. FICK: I mean, we've talked about it in general
              There's also a civil case by the -- the -- the
25
      terms.
```

affected parties out there against Mr. Baugh and others. So we've talked about these issues. So he understands there's uncertainty with regard to those issues.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

All right. I'm sorry to interrupt. Go ahead, sir.

MR. KOSTO: Thank you, your Honor.

Had the case proceeded to trial, the United States would have proven beyond a reasonable doubt each of elements of the five charged offenses, through and among other evidence, witness testimony, including the testimony of witnesses cooperating with the government's investigation; physical evidence; electronic communications, including those to the victims, between and among the coconspirators, and to and from third parties, as well as the use of business records.

And what that evidence would show, the government submits beyond a reasonable doubt, is that between approximately August 5, 2019, and August 23, 2019, the defendant, Mr. Baugh, and several coconspirators, all whom worked at eBay, Inc., the multinational e-commerce company, agreed to engage in a harassment campaign that targeted a husband and wife who lived in Natick, Massachusetts. They're described in the indictment as Victim 1 and Victim 2.

The coconspirators included Mr. Baugh, who was then eBay's senior director of safety and security --

THE COURT: Can I step you right then --

MR. KOSTO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- because it just occurred to me, I want to make sure since this is a critical stage in the proceedings, they've been -- I assume the two of them have been notified of these proceedings?

MR. KOSTO: And I have seen the -- I'm aware that the victims both planned to attend, and I see at least a connection from one of them on the Zoom, and I assume they're together.

THE COURT: Okay. I should have asked -- I should have asked that earlier. I'm sorry. I interrupted. Go ahead.

MR. KOSTO: No, thank you for clarifying. And, yes, they are aware of the proceeding, and I believe they're both in attendance today.

I mentioned Mr. Baugh, who was the senior director of safety and security at eBay; Stephanie Popp, a coconspirator, who was eBay's senior manager of global intelligence; David Harville, Mr. Baugh's codefendant, who was eBay's director of global resiliency; Brian Gilbert and Philip Cooke, two retired San Jose, California, police captains, who worked on eBay's global security team; Stephanie Stockwell, an eBay intelligence analyst, who managed eBay's Global Intelligence Center, which I may refer to as the GIC; and Veronica Zea, an eBay contractor who was assigned to the GIC.

The campaign, your Honor, targeted Victims 1 and 2 for their roles in publishing a newsletter that reported on issues

of interest to eBay sellers. Senior executives at eBay were frustrated with the newsletter's tone and content, and with the tone and content of comments that appeared underneath the newsletter's articles online. The harassment campaign arose from communications between those senior executives and Mr. Baugh, who was at that time eBay's senior security employee.

The campaign, which was intended to intimidate and harass the victims, was, of course, a conduct that included, first, sending threatening messages and communications to the victims over Twitter, which was an instrumental -- instrumentality of interstate commerce.

Second, ordering unwarranted and disturbing deliveries to the victims' home.

And third, Zea, Harville, Mr. Baugh, and Popps [sic] traveled to Natick to surveil the victims in their home and community. The deliveries ordered to the victims's homes included a book on surviving the death of a spouse, a bloody pig mask, a fetal pig, a funeral wreath, and live insects. The harassment also featured Craigslist posts that invited the public for sexual encounters or estate sales at the victims's home.

The threatening Twitter messages were written to Victim 1 and sometimes addressed to Victim 2 by name as if they had been sent by eBay sellers who were unhappy with the

victims's coverage of eBay in the newsletter. Some of these messages posted the victims's addresses publicly on the Internet, a concept known as doxing, and threatened to visit the victims at their home.

An August 29th -- an August 22, 2019, message stated, for example, At newsletter 20 years of lies and destroying families. Don't be proud of that you worthless bitch. I will destroy your family and business too. See how you like it.

Another involved the author of one post asking another when the two were going to visit Victim 1 in Natick.

Mr. Baugh intended for the harassment and intimidation to distract the victims from publishing the newsletter, to change the newsletter's coverage of eBay, and ultimately to enable eBay to contact the victims to offer assistance with the harassment, what the government has called a "White Knight Strategy." The White Knight Strategy would earn goodwill with the victims such that they might help eBay learn the identity of Phytomaster, an anonymous online persona who frequently posted negative comments about eBay underneath the newsletter's articles and thereby allow eBay to discredit both Phytomaster and the victims.

Mr. Baugh, Harville, Zea, and -- and Ms. Zea also flew to Boston and then drove immediately to Natick on August 15, 2019. Mr. Baugh and Mr. Harville intended to install a GPS tracking device on the victim's car, but it was safely locked

in their garage at the time.

The victims spotted the surveillance team on August 16th, which led them to call the Natick Police

Department -- which I'll refer to as the NPD -- in fear. Zea and Mr. Baugh and Ms. Popp, who arrived to replace Mr. Harville on August 17th, continued that surveillance even after having been spotted by the victims.

The NPD which began investigating the deliveries, the threats and the surveillance connected Ms. Zea and Mr. Harville to two rented cars and then to eBay. The NPD reached out to the company for assistance; and when Mr. Baugh learned that the NPD was making inquiries, he and his coconspirators took steps to prevent the NPD from learning about eBay's involvement in the harassment campaign. This included sending Brian Gilbert, one of the retired police captains, to a meeting with the NPD at which Mr. Gilbert made false statements about Zea and Harville's and eBay's involvement.

Mr. Baugh and several of his coconspirators also made false statements to internal investigators at eBay who they knew were attempting to respond to the NPD's request for information and assistance.

Mr. Baugh and other coconspirators also deleted digital evidence related to the cyberstalking campaign and falsified records intended to throw the NPD off the trail.

As these events were unfolding, the NPD referred the

victims's harassment matter to the FBI for investigation in late August of 2019.

On or about the dates below, Mr. Baugh took the following additional steps in furtherance of the conspiracy charged in Count One of the indictment or in an attempt to obstruct the investigation into it.

And with respect to the Counts Two and Three, the interstate travel in furtherance of stalking, the evidence would show that on August 15, 2019, Mr. Baugh flew interstate from California to Boston with Ms. Zea; and that upon arrival at Logan Airport, the pair met up with Mr. Harville, rented cars and drove out to the victims's residence in Natick in that unsuccessful attempt to install a GPS device on the victim's car.

With respect to Counts Six and Seven, the use of the instrumentalities of interstate commerce in furtherance of stalking, the evidence would show that on August 5, 2021, Mr. Baugh convened a meeting at the GIC at eBay's corporate headquarters with Stephanie Stockwell, Veronica Zea, Stephanie Popp and others. He directed them to brainstorm the harassing packages that could be sent to the victims's residence. This meeting led to the delivery of the harassing packages that I described a moment ago.

On or about August 6, 2019, Mr. Baugh convened a second meeting among himself, Mr. Gilbert, Ms. Popp, and

Mr. Cooke. In that meeting, Mr. Baugh and those in attendance planned the online harassment that would lead to the White Knight Strategy that I described a moment ago, and that led to the delivery of those harassing and threatening communications that I described a moment ago.

In Natick, during the course of surveilling Victim 1 and Victim 2, Mr. Baugh dialed into a telephone conference line and used that facility of interstate commerce to communicate with other members of the surveillance team in part to monitor any police activity that might compromise the surveillance team.

Mr. Baugh also used WhatsApp in a facility of interstate commerce to communicate with his coconspirators about the surveillance and about the content of the harassing messages that would be sent to Victims 1 and 2.

As to Count Ten, the first of the witness tampering counts, your Honor, on August 21, 2019, at approximately 9:34 a.m. at Boston's Ritz Carlton Hotel the evidence would show that with the intent to prevent NPD Detective Jason Sutherland from speaking with Ms. Zea, Mr. Baugh falsely told the detective that Ms. Zea was his wife. Mr. Baugh also stated that Ms. Zea didn't want to speak with the detective; and within 10 minutes Mr. Baugh took -- took Ms. Zea away from the Ritz Carlton where the police were looking to speak with her to another Boston area hotel.

1.3

As to Count Eleven, a second obstruction count, your Honor. By August 22, 2019, and thereafter, Mr. Baugh engaged in misleading conduct, including making false statements and statements that omitted certain material facts to eBay investigators, including eBay corporate counsel, internal counsel.

The statements which Mr. Baugh made to keep eBay and the NPD from learning about eBay's role in the campaign included that Mr. Baugh's team was not responsible for sending harassing deliveries or messages to the victims; that his team had been to Natick to investigate threats to the victims and that Mr. Harville had gone to Boston to attend a conference.

As to Count Three, the first of the falsification counts, your Honor, the evidence would show that on August 21, 2019, Mr. Baugh learned from Brian Gilbert, who had attended the meeting with the NPD, that the NPD was looking into the use of a prepaid debit card in the San Jose, California, area to purchase one of the harassing deliveries.

Veronica Zea, one of the coconspirators, had, in fact, made that purchase using that prepaid debit card, but Mr. Baugh directed a subordinate, Stephanie Stockwell, to assemble a list of eBay, quote, persons of interest in the Bay area that could be used to throw the NPD off the trail of Ms. Zea as a suspect, and that persons of interest list did not include Ms. Zea's name.

As to Count Fourteen, a second falsification and destruction count, your Honor, on or about August 26, 2019, there was a meeting at eBay headquarters among Mr. Baugh and his coconspirators, and during that meeting Mr. Baugh directed the group to delete their WhatsApp and electronic messages concerning the trip to Boston and the harassment of the victims.

Together, your Honor, those facts, the government respectfully submits, would provide the Court an adequate factual basis to accept a plea as to each of the charged offenses in the indictment, specifically Count One, Counts Two and Three, Counts Six and Seven, Counts Ten and Eleven, and Counts Thirteen and Fourteen.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Baugh, do you disagree with any of those facts?

THE DEFENDANT: I do not.

THE COURT: So do you happen to have the indictment in front of you?

THE DEFENDANT: I have it on my computer. I can pull that up.

THE COURT: Well -- well, here's the thing. I'm not going to read the entire indictment, we'd be here for the rest of the day, but I am going to read the counts, and I didn't know if you wanted, because I'm going to ask you to plead one by one, and it's not essential that you have them, but

sometimes it's easier to read along rather than just listen,
so...

THE DEFENDANT: I have it up now.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. So I'm starting with Count One, sir, which is conspiracy to commit stalking through travel and through facilities of interstate commerce in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 371 -- I think it's on page 14.

Do you have that up?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you plead quilty knowingly, freely, and voluntarily to Count One, that is, from on or about August 5, 2019, through at least September 6, 2019, in the District of Massachusetts and elsewhere that you, Jim Baugh, together with David Harville, conspired with each other and with others known and unknown to the grand jury, to commit offenses against the United States, to wit: a. stalking through interstate travel, that is, to travel in interstate commerce with the intent to harass, intimidate, and place under surveillance with intent to harass and intimidate another person, and in the course of, and as a result of, such travel, engage in conduct that caused, attempted to cause, and would be reasonably be expected to cause substantial emotional distress to a person, that is Victims 1 and 2, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 2261(A)(1)(B); and plead quilty to b. stalking through facilities of interstate commerce, that is, with the intent to

harass, intimidate, and place under surveillance with intent to harass and intimidate another person, use the mail, any interactive computer service, electronic communication service, electronic communication system of interstate commerce, and any other facility of interstate and foreign commerce to engage in a course of conduct that caused, attempted to cause, and would be reasonably expected to cause substantial emotional distress to a person, specifically, Victims 1 and 2, in violation of 18 U.S. Code Section 2261(A)(2)(B). All in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 371.

Do you plead guilty knowingly, freely, and voluntary to Count One?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: Count Two and Three, I suppose. Yes, because it involves the two separate victims.

From -- do you plead guilty to Counts Two and Three that from on or about August 15, 2019, through on or about August 23, 2019, in the District of Massachusetts and elsewhere that you did travel in interstate commerce with intent to harass, intimidate, and place under surveillance with intent to harass and intimidate another person, and in the course of, and as a result of, such travel engaged in conduct that caused, attempted to cause, and would be reasonably expected to cause substantial emotional distress to the persons described below: Count Two, Victim 1; Count Three, Victim 2, in violation of

1 18 U.S.C. Section 2261A(1)(B) and 2.

Do you plead guilty knowingly, freely, and voluntarily to Counts Two and Three?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, we're moving on to Counts, I believe, Six and Seven.

Do you plead guilty -- and again it involves the two victims.

Do you plead guilty from on or about August 5, 2019, through on or about August 23, 2019, in the District of

Massachusetts and elsewhere that you did with intent to harass, intimidate, and place under surveillance with the intent to harass and intimidate another person, use the mail, an interactive computer service, electronic communication service, electronic communication system of interstate commerce, and other facilities of interstate commerce to engage in a course of conduct that caused, attempted to cause, and would be reasonably expected to cause substantial emotional distress to the persons described below: Count Six, Victim 1; Count Seven, Victim 2, in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 2261A(2)(B) and 2.

Do you plead guilty knowingly, freely, and voluntarily to Six and Seven?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I guess we can go up to Counts Ten and Eleven, the witness tampering and aiding and abetting.

Do you plead guilty from on or about August 20th through on or about August 30, 2019, in the District of Massachusetts and elsewhere that you, the defendant, did knowingly engage in misleading conduct toward the persons described below, with intent to hinder, delay, and prevent the communication to a law enforcement officer of the United States of information relating to the commission and possible commission of a federal offense, that is, the conspiracy described in Count One of the indictment; and that the object of the misleading conduct in Count Ten was the Natick Police Department detective; and with respect to Count Eleven was the eBay internal investigator, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1512(b)(3) and 2.

Do you plead guilty knowingly, freely, and voluntarily?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And, finally, with respect to Counts

Thirteen and Fourteen. Do you plead guilty to on or about the dates set forth below in the District of Massachusetts and elsewhere that you did knowingly alter, destroy, conceal and falsify the records, documents, and tangible objects below with the intent to impede, obstruct, and influence the investigation and proper administration of a matter within the jurisdiction of any department and agency of the United States, that is, the conspiracy charged in Count One of the indictment. Count

```
Thirteen, the date was August 21, 2019, the Bay area -- POI is
 1
      persons of interest, I assume; is that right? Do I have that
 2
 3
      right?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: You do.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. August 2019 docx; and Count
 6
      Fourteen, August 26th to the 30th, records on eBay issued-cell
      phone, all in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1519 and 2, do you
 7
      plead guilty knowingly, freely, and voluntarily?
 8
 9
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
10
               THE COURT: All right. I think that's it. I'm about
11
      to accept this plea.
               Would you like to discuss anything with your attorney
12
13
      or me first?
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Not at this time, no.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. I find the plea is knowing and -- I
16
      find you're competent and capable of entering into an informed
17
      plea, and that the plea is knowing and voluntary concerning
18
      each of the essential elements of the offense, and I accept it.
19
               What is the date of sentencing? Maryellen, have you
20
      already worked that out?
               THE CLERK: I did, Judge, yes. We gave them
21
      September -- hold on. I think it was the 29th. 29th at 2:30.
22
23
      September 29th at 2:30; is that okay?
24
               THE COURT: Well, so far, yes.
25
               MR. FICK: Yes, your Honor, thank you.
```

THE COURT: As I understand it, I heard from probation that there are no problems with the meeting the conditions of release; is that correct?

MR. FICK: That's correct, your Honor.

MR. KOSTO: That is, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I just put you -- I just maintain those same correct conditions and leave you out on bail until that period of time.

Is there -- so now there are a few other things that I think we need to talk about.

One is recently I've had a number of these hearings where restitution is then put off for another 90 days, and that sort of prolongs things. So I would encourage you to either discuss this beforehand, and we'll combine a restitution hearing with a sentencing hearing, as you said, Mr. Fick, that there may be one contest with respect to the guideline ranges, but ideally speaking, you would together at your sentencing memo put together a restitution memo if it's not agreed upon. So that would be very helpful just to get this moving.

The second thing is, Mr. Fick, I brought up the one question I had in mind, which is we were pretty close to issuing an opinion, and we weren't sure whether or not it was moot or not. You are still looking for some of this information, I take it, for the sentencing hearing; is that correct?

```
1
               MR. FICK: That's correct, your Honor.
               THE COURT: All right. So we will be issuing
 2
 3
      something.
 4
               Do you know, Mr. Kosto, whether or not Mr. Harville
 5
      has -- oh, see, that's not such a big rush shall I say. That's
 6
      easily -- I can get that out by then. The concern I have is do
      you know whether Mr. Harville -- we couldn't find whether for
 7
 8
      sure he joined in on that or not.
 9
               MR. KOSTO: Sorry, on the --
10
               THE COURT: It was Mr. -- it was Mr. Baugh's --
11
      Baugh's motion.
12
               MR. KOSTO: The motion -- the motion for the Rule 7 --
13
      the Rule 17 subpoenas or the motion for additional information
14
      regarding Mr. -- Mr. Baugh's past --
15
               THE COURT: I've already ruled on the motion to
16
      compel. You've received that, but I'm worried --
17
               MR. KOSTO: Yes.
18
               THE COURT: -- right now about the 17(c) subpoena
19
      because we still have a trial date.
20
               When's Mr. Harville's trial?
21
               MR. KOSTO: May 31, your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: May 31, and that's still going forward,
23
      right, as far as you know?
24
               MR. KOSTO: As far as I know, your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. So I may have to get that out.
                                                                  Ι
```

```
1
      don't know whether he has joined in that so ...
               MR. KOSTO: I believe he did join in Mr. Fick's
 2
      motion.
 3
 4
               I see Mr. Gelb on camera now. I'm sure he would be
 5
      happy to speak to it, your Honor. He represents Mr. Harville.
 6
               THE COURT: Hello, Mr. Gelb.
               MR. GELB: Good morning, your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: Good morning.
 8
 9
               MR. GELB: Good morning. We -- we had joined in on it
10
      orally, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Oh, you did orally. Okay. I just -- I
12
      just wasn't sure where we were all left with that motion.
13
      we will get something out with that.
14
               And, Mr. Gelb, is that likely to be a trial at this
15
      point?
16
               MR. GELB: Nothing is -- no status has changed as of
      our position at this point, your Honor.
17
18
               THE COURT: Okay. So, I just have a case backed up
19
      behind you. So I just want to let them know fairly what's
20
      going on. So, I'm sure we'll be in touch, but right now that
21
      17(c) subpoena's still very much alive you're telling me?
               MR. GELB: We -- it is, your Honor, relative to --
22
23
      we're not waiving that issue as well.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. Was there anything else that needed
25
      to be discussed at this point?
```

```
1
               MR. KOSTO: Not from the government, your Honor.
      Thank you.
 2
               THE COURT: All right.
 3
               MR. FICK: I don't believe so, your Honor. Thank you.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 6
               So we have finished this hearing at this point, and
      we'll see you in September. I quess that's it right now.
 7
               THE CLERK: Judge, Mr. -- Mr. Gelb's case,
 8
 9
      Mr. Harville, they have a pretrial conference scheduled for
10
      Thursday, May 19th, at 2:30. That's --
11
               THE COURT: So that's really helpful. I'm on trial in
12
      the morning so it has to be in the afternoon, but -- so we'll
13
      hold it then. And I guess I did say -- I forgot one key thing,
14
      do you want sentencing in person?
15
               MR. FICK: Yes, your Honor, I mean, our intention at
16
      this time absent some dramatic change and circumstance would be
17
      to do the sentencing in person.
18
               THE COURT: I -- I like that actually. I prefer that.
19
               MR. FICK: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: I think -- so -- and also to make sure
21
      that the victims know. You say they're on the phone or some
22
      are on the phone as to whether or not they want to say
23
      anything.
24
               MR. KOSTO: The victims intend to speak at sentencing.
```

They prefer the sentencing to take place in person, and the

25

```
1
      government does as well.
               THE COURT: Yes, I think we're all on the same page
 2
 3
              It's much easier. This feels -- you know, I just see a
 4
      little tiny box with Mr. Baugh in it, so I prefer to -- it
 5
      feels more -- I feel like I'm more connected to what's going on
 6
      when we're all in person. So unless somebody has a major COVID
 7
      outbreak, that's what I plan to do.
 8
               Thank you.
               MR. FICK: Thank you, your Honor.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And we'll be seeing you
11
      soon, Mr. Gelb.
12
               MR. GELB:
                          Thank you, your Honor.
13
               MR. KOSTO: Thank you, your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: All right. We'll stand in recess.
                                                               Thank
15
      you.
16
               THE CLERK: Thank you, everybody.
17
               THE COURT: And I accept the plea. Thank you.
18
               THE CLERK: Thanks.
19
               (At 11:21 a.m., court was adjourned.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u> I, Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcription of my stenographic notes before the Honorable Patti B. Saris, to the best of my skill, knowledge, and ability. /s/ Marianne Kusa-Ryll 05-09-22 Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR Date Official Court Reporter